

Assessing the effectiveness of curriculum implementation across global educational systems

Joevie R. Alvarado*

Cebu Technological University-Main Campus
Corner M.J. Cuenco Avenue and R. Palma Street
Cebu City, Philippines
Email: violetgurl20@gmail.com

Regina P. Galigao

Cebu Technological University-Main Campus
Corner M.J. Cuenco Avenue and R. Palma Street
Cebu City, Philippines
Email: reginpgaligao@gmail.com

Abstract: This study investigates the effectiveness of curriculum implementation across selected countries in Asia, Europe, North America, and South America, focusing on how educational frameworks are applied in classrooms to enhance learning outcomes. Using a qualitative comparative research design and a systematic literature review, this research identifies patterns and challenges in curriculum implementation worldwide, emphasizing content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and teaching methods. Findings reveal that successful implementation requires coherence between policy and practice, as well as access to resources and continuous professional development. In developing regions, challenges such as inadequate infrastructure and teacher training hinder effective curriculum delivery, whereas in developed countries, evolving standards and technological integration create additional pressures. The study highlights distinct approaches across continents: Asia's focus on STEM and innovation, Europe's balanced emphasis on foundational and advanced skills, North America's critical thinking and social development frameworks, and South America's commitment to inclusivity and practical education. These insights underscore the importance of context-specific strategies and a global recognition of critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaborative learning as core competencies. This comparative analysis provides valuable recommendations for educators and policymakers to address disparities, enhance implementation quality, and foster equitable access to quality education globally.

*Corresponding Author**

Keywords: Curriculum implementation, Comparative analysis, Educational frameworks, and Pedagogical skills

Date Submitted: August 5, 2024
Date Accepted: August 17, 2024
Date Published: December 3, 2024

INTRODUCTION

The effectiveness of curriculum implementation, defined as the process by which educational frameworks are practically applied in classrooms to achieve desired learning outcomes, is a critical factor influencing global education quality (Fullan, 2007). This process involves the translation of curriculum designs into teaching strategies, the provision of adequate resources, and the alignment of educational policies with classroom practices (Spillane et al., 2002). Effective implementation is characterized by well-prepared educators, sufficient materials, and continuous assessment mechanisms to ensure that educational objectives are met (Guskey, 2002). However, the success of curriculum implementation varies worldwide due to differing socio-economic conditions, teacher training quality, and resource availability (UNESCO, 2015). In developed countries, structured educational systems often facilitate better implementation and higher student achievement (OECD, 2019). In contrast, developing regions face significant challenges, such as inadequate infrastructure and lack of trained

teachers, which impede effective curriculum delivery (Wang, 2011). Research highlights the need for coherent policy alignment, ongoing professional development, and robust support systems to enhance curriculum implementation globally (Darling-Hammond, 2010; Sup Ovitz & Turner, 2000). Understanding these dynamics is essential for improving educational outcomes and ensuring equitable access to quality education worldwide (Schweisfurth, 2013).

The study on assessing the effectiveness of curriculum implementation in selected countries in Asia, Europe, North America and South America was conducted to address significant gaps and challenges that impede optimal educational outcomes across various contexts. Despite extensive efforts to develop comprehensive curricula, discrepancies in implementation quality persist due to factors such as inadequate teacher training, insufficient resources, and lack of alignment between educational policies and classroom practices (Fullan, 2007; Guskey, 2002). In many developing regions, these issues are exacerbated by socio-economic constraints and infrastructural deficiencies, leading to subpar student performance and educational inequities (UNESCO, 2015; Wang, 2011). Even in developed countries, the complexity of translating curriculum design into effective teaching strategies remains a concern, as teachers often struggle with adapting to evolving educational standards and integrating new technologies (Darling-Hammond, 2010; Supovitz & Turner, 2000). Furthermore, the lack of continuous assessment and feedback mechanisms hinders the ability to identify and address implementation gaps promptly (Spillane et al., 2002). Therefore, this study aims to explore these challenges in-depth and propose solutions to enhance the overall effectiveness of curriculum implementation globally, thereby improving educational quality and equity (Schweisfurth, 2013; OECD, 2019).

The necessity to conduct an in-depth analysis of the conclusions drawn from various studies on the effectiveness of curriculum implementation worldwide arises from the persistent and multifaceted gaps identified in the literature. Despite numerous research efforts, significant disparities remain in how effectively curricula are implemented across different educational contexts, influenced by factors such as teacher preparedness, resource availability, and policy coherence (Fullan, 2007; Guskey, 2002). Moreover, the conclusions of existing studies often highlight regional disparities and suggest the need for more tailored and context-specific strategies, yet a comprehensive synthesis and comparative analysis of these findings are lacking (UNESCO, 2015; Wang, 2011). By systematically analyzing the conclusions of these articles, this research aims to identify commonalities and divergences, uncover underlying reasons for implementation challenges, and propose more universally applicable recommendations for enhancing curriculum effectiveness globally (Darling-Hammond, 2010; Supovitz & Turner, 2000). Such an analysis is crucial for informing policymakers, educators, and stakeholders to bridge the implementation gaps and promote equitable and high-quality education worldwide (Schweisfurth, 2013; OECD, 2019).

Statement of the problem

This study explores the curriculum implementation in terms of content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and teaching methods across selected countries in Asia, Europe, North America and South America that can identify commonalities of curriculum implementation.

METHODOLOGY

Research design

The study utilized a qualitative comparative research design, specifically employing a systematic literature review and data mining method. This design allowed for an in-depth examination and comparison of curriculum implementation practices across various countries. The process included identifying and reviewing peer-reviewed articles and

published studies from 2014 to 2024. Data were systematically extracted to identify patterns and common practices in curriculum implementation, focusing on content knowledge, pedagogical skills, and teaching methods. The findings were then interpreted within the context of educational policies to provide comprehensive insights.

Locale of the study and respondents

The study examined curriculum implementation in selected countries across four continents: Asia, Europe, North America, and South America. It focused on diverse educational systems, including those of developed and developing nations, to understand the global variations and similarities in implementation practices. The respondents were not individuals but rather the educational systems and curricular frameworks as represented in the literature. Data were gathered from a range of educational contexts, highlighting how socio-cultural, economic, and policy factors influence implementation.

Research instruments

The primary research instruments were systematic literature review and data mining techniques. Academic databases were used to identify relevant studies, and the literature was critically analyzed to extract information on curriculum practices. The extracted data included qualitative insights on content knowledge, pedagogical skills, teaching strategies, and policy implications. These instruments facilitated a detailed and comparative analysis of global curriculum implementation trends.

Data analyses procedure

The analysis involved multiple steps to ensure a comprehensive understanding of curriculum implementation across the selected countries. Initially, a detailed review of the identified literature was conducted to extract relevant data. Key themes, patterns, and practices were identified and categorized. The findings were then summarized to highlight similarities and differences in implementation strategies across regions. Finally, the results were contextualized within broader educational policies and frameworks, ensuring that the analysis provided actionable insights for educators and policymakers. This approach ensured a systematic and objective comparison of global practices.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Content knowledge

The educational system worldwide through curriculum implementation reflects the diverse educational priorities and cultural contexts, each aiming to equip students with the skills and knowledge needed to succeed in a globalized world while fostering innovation, research, and practical skills development at different stages of education.

The countries in Asia like Japan, Taiwan, and Singapore center around a comprehensive improvement that incorporates moral, mental, physical, social, and tasteful perspectives. The vital information and specialized subjects are evident in a critical interest on development of research, and innovations. The instruction commonly incorporates subjects like language, math, science, and social examinations, while optional schooling grows to center subjects and electives, with advanced education being serious and research arranged.

The content knowledge in the curricula of Japan, Taiwan, and Singapore reflects distinct yet interconnected educational goals, shaped by each country's socio-cultural context and long-term national objectives.

Based on various literature review, both Japan and Singapore emphasize the development of human resources and social competencies, though with different nuances. Japan's approach is broader, incorporating the idea of community and collective well-being, while Singapore focuses more on individual character development and resilience. In addition, compared to Japan and Singapore, which emphasize specific competencies and values. And also, Taiwan implemented various comprehensive approach to education that aims to develop the development aspects of an individual's personality and skills. Thus, it reflects a more integrated view of what constitutes a well-educated citizen.

However, the curriculum implementation in Taiwan and Singapore both highlighted the importance of moral and ethical development, which deeply rooted in traditional values, and oriented towards contemporary societal needs, such as social harmony and personal responsibility.

By comparing content across different countries, educators and policymakers can gain knowledge into the cultural, social, and economic factors that shape educational goals. In regions like Asia, with its focus on STEM and rote learning, to North America's emphasis on critical thinking and holistic education, Europe's balanced approach to traditional and modern competencies, and South America's focus on inclusive and social justice, content analysis reveals the unique educational paradigms that influence student learning and development globally.

In Europe, nations like Britain, Italy, and France consolidate an extensive educational program in essential and optional training with subjects like language, math, science, history, topography, and expressions. Optional schooling frequently incorporates tracks and thorough scholastic projects, planning understudies for advanced education, which centers around scholarly greatness and exploration.

The curriculum structures in England, Italy, and France highlight diverse educational pathways designed to meet the specific needs of students at various stages of their academic journey. Each country's approach reflects a balance between general education and specialized training, preparing students for both higher education and the labor market.

When analyzing the curriculum implementation in these three European countries, several ideas emerge such as across England, Italy, and France, there is a clear emphasis on providing students with the skills and knowledge needed to succeed in both higher education and the workforce. The differences in these approaches highlight how each country tailors its educational system to meet the needs of the students, while also addressing national priorities such as workforce development and social integration. This comparative analysis underscores the importance of aligning educational pathways with both individual student needs and broader societal goals.

In North America specifically in Canada, United States, and Mexico, the curriculum implementation through teaching content knowledge to students emphasizes the core skills such as literacy and numeracy among students. The educational curriculum in necessary and optional training includes language expressions, math, science, social studies, and expressions, with advanced education focusing on research, critical thinking, and expert abilities.

Based on systematic review on curriculum implementation, countries focus on literacy and numeracy center of the curriculum, focus on developing fundamental skills in various subjects and focus on social and emotional development, and focuses on professional technical education. Thus, according to Tuithof, et al. (2021) experienced teachers are well versed on how to teach the content of an existing curriculum to facilitate the understanding of their students. Teachers choose and develop strategies, explain content to a specific group of students, and effectively delivers activities that enhances students' literacy and numeracy as

the center of the curriculum. Further, it also focuses on developing fundamental skills in various subjects and focus on social and emotional development.

In countries like Argentina, Brazil, and Peru all have a similar structure of mandatory and voluntary education that includes core courses such as language, math, science, social exams, and expressions. Advanced education in these countries is centered on research, development, practical skills, and social responsibility, with a focus on improving instructional quality and providing support for instructors.

In general, countries in different continents or worldwide demonstrate a commitment to providing comprehensive training that equips students with the necessary information and abilities for individual. The establishment of university and non-university institutions and fundamental education was implemented to help students acquire foundational skills that reflects political and economic developments. According to Rahman et al. (2022), the teachers in various fields should be equipped with the necessary knowledge to be more confident in implementing in teaching and learning process in their respective schools.

Pedagogical skills

The curriculum implementation through teachers' pedagogical skills implemented in various countries around the world show some similarities and place emphasis on encouraging students to acquire academic improvement and excellence.

Based on the results, in Asia, countries in Japan, Taiwan, and Singapore focuses on dynamic and inquiry-based learning, logical reasoning, and improving critical thinking among students. In Japan, teachers' instruction enhances rational learning, which highlights logical reasoning and cooperative learning (MEXT, 2016). And Taiwan's comprehensive informative teaching focuses on critical reasoning, innovation, and moral training, with teachers creating informative teaching techniques for students to learn (School System, 2018). In addition, in Singapore, the educational system upholds advancing inquiry-based learning, imagination, and cooperative learning (NCEE, n.d.). Thus, the use of interactive activities is regarded as helpful in creating the conditions in which interaction, participation and collaboration are increased to create effective collaborative learning (Zubiri-Esnaola et al., 2020).

European countries that include England, Italy, and France emphasizes on critical thinking activities and intuitive educational strategies. Such as communicative method. In Britain, the focus in teaching is on differentiated instruction that empowers dynamic support, decisive reasoning, imagination, and down-to-earth abilities (La Velle et al., 2020). In Italy, diverse teaching technique are intuitive and delivers in a spontaneous manner, enhancing critical reasoning, social awareness, and promotes cooperative learning (Italian language school showing strategies, n.d.). French teachers utilize different techniques including the communicative-based learning, with a solid emphasis on retention and redundancy in the early years in level of education (Zhou, 2023).

According to Ghavifekr, (2020), in the 21st century education, students are required to be active learners in the learning process from various aspects. Therefore, besides planning for students' academic achievement, there is a need for development of their desired skills such as communication and interaction with the society. In this regard, collaborative learning plays an important role in developing students' social interaction skills.

North American school systems, especially in Canada, the US, and Mexico, feature logical reasoning, inclusive, and common sense abilities. Canadian educators' extent theoretical information with functional applications in real life settings, emphasizing on critical reasoning and collaborative learning (How the Canadian School System Is Unique, 2015). In United States, the educational system is adaptable, highlighting scientific reasoning

and intuitive learning, with a substantial attention on different educational methodologies in science and innovation-related programs (Jump Researcher, 2022). And. In Mexico, the instructional structure in education focuses on inclusivity, social training, and critical thinking, with required tutoring from pre-essential to upper-auxiliary schooling (Pozas et al., 2021).

The curriculum implemented in North America emphasizes on practical applications, critical thinking, analytical, interactive learning in teaching, and focuses on cultural education, problem solving and collaborative learning. The pedagogical method has an existing practical application and emphasizes on critical thinking as well as the analytical and interactive learning.

On the other hand, South American countries like Argentina, Brazil, and Peru emphasize on logical reasoning, and cooperative learning. Argentina's instructional methods of education incorporates innovation and cultural education (Miguel, 2021), while Brazil focuses on critical thinking, social inclusion, problem-solving, creativity, and collaborative learning (Minniti et al., 2017). Peru's school system stresses on cultural identity, critical thinking, cooperative learning, and enhancing educational approach to learning (Cavalcanti-Bandos et al., 2021).

Based on the literature review across different continents, there is a consistent emphasis on critical thinking, creativity, problem-solving, and collaborative learning. These pedagogical skills are integral to developing well-rounded students capable of thriving in diverse, dynamic environments. The shared focus on these skills highlights a global recognition of their importance in preparing students for the challenges of the modern world. Thus, schools across the world should cope with an extremely diversified students who are differ not only in academic readiness, but also in cultural background, language competence, learning styles and motivation, as well as social, methodological, and self-regulatory competencies (Hardy et al., 2019). With the increasing student diversity, policymakers worldwide call to shift 'from focusing on the inclusion of students with special educational needs, to the inclusion, participation, and development of all learners' (Schwab & Alnahdi, 2020).

It presents the curriculum implementation through teachers' methods of teaching, which are vital and carried on across various countries. Table 9 shows that there is a significant emphasis on interactive and student-centered approaches, reflecting a worldwide trend toward engaging and holistic education.

Based on results of content analysis, the countries of Japan, Taiwan and Singapore in Asia depicts similarities in teaching methods use in curriculum implementation. Japan emphasizes a holistic approach to teaching, incorporating lecture-based methods, group work, and hands-on activities to foster an active collaborative effort among students (OECD Education Policy Outlook, 2019) while in Taiwan and Singapore it primarily utilized a teacher-centered methods which incorporates collaborative learning to make students engaged effectively and receives informative inputs from the teachers (Educational System, 2018).

Curriculum implementation

The curriculum implementation through teachers' methods of teaching, which are vital and carried on across various countries. There is a significant emphasis on interactive and student-centered approaches, reflecting a worldwide trend toward engaging and holistic education.

Based on results of content analysis, the countries of Japan, Taiwan and Singapore in Asia depicts similarities in teaching methods use in curriculum implementation. Japan

emphasizes a holistic approach to teaching, incorporating lecture-based methods, group work, and hands-on activities to foster an active collaborative effort among students (OECD Education Policy Outlook, 2019) while in Taiwan and Singapore it primarily utilized a teacher-centered methods which incorporates collaborative learning to make students engaged effectively and receives informative inputs from the teachers (Educational System, 2018).

In Europe, teaching methods also focus on student's class engagement and active learning. The education system in England and Italy are characterized by student-centered learning, small group tutorials, independent study, and varied assessments, including inquiry-based and project-based learning (Eurydice, 2013). While in France combines lectures, group work, and independent study, with a strong emphasis on academic achievement and competition, integrating communicative approaches and blended learning methods (Zhou, 2023).

The findings of the study present a constructive overview of teachers' digital competencies and technology use in teaching and learning in the time of the COVID-19 and also play a significant role in the integration of technology in the post-pandemic time in higher education. The study also suggests relevant educational authorities and policymakers for assessing and enhancing the technological competencies of teachers for quality online education. (Akram, 2021).

In North American, teaching methods are similarly focused on interactive and student-centered learning which is similarly use as a strategy in teaching in Canada that emphasizes inquiry-based learning and flipped classrooms, encouraging students to take an active role in their education (How the Canadian Education System Is Different, 2015). Teachers in United States uses interactive learning approach in a smaller class size to foster positive teacher-students relationship that promotes adapted mentorship and active participation through discussions, brainstorming sessions, and debates (Leap Scholar, 2022). While in Mexico, teachers integrates traditional lectures with problem-based learning and differentiated instruction to address classroom diversity (Pozas et al., 2021).

The countries in South America specifically Brazil, Argentina and Peru teaching methods vary but maintain a focus on student engagement and practical learning experiences which makes learning more meaningful. Primarily, in Argentina teachers utilize teacher-centered approach in teaching and experiential learning methods to provide practical approach to education while Brazil, teachers incorporates multimedia in the delivery of the lesson and communication systems into its teaching, with an emphasis on project-based and collaborative learning to equip students with practical skills for everyday situations (Minniti et al., 2017). On the other hand, Peru utilizes experiential learning and collaborative approach to engage students and promote collaborative learning environments (Cavalcanti-Bandos et al., 2021).

The teaching methods across these countries highlight a common emphasis on interactive, student-centered, and experiential learning approaches. This global trend reflects the importance of engaging students actively in their education, fostering critical thinking, problem-solving, and collaborative skills essential for their future success.

CONCLUSIONS

The study revealed that curriculum implementation in various countries in teaching different subjects or learning areas focuses on teaching fundamental skills in literacy and numeracy using collaborative, interactive and holistic teaching.

REFERENCES

Ajai, K. (2021). Effectiveness of school-based instructional materials in enhancing competence-based curriculum implementation. *African Journal of Educational Management*.

Akram, H., Yingxiu, Y., Al-Adwan, A. S., & Alkhailah, A. (2021). Technology integration in higher education during COVID-19: An assessment of online teaching competencies through technological pedagogical content knowledge model. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 12, Article 736522. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2021.736522>

Befani, B. (2016). Pathways to change: Evaluating development interventions with qualitative comparative analysis (QCA). Expertgruppen för biståndsanalys. https://eba.se/wp-content/uploads/2016/07/QCA_BarbaraBefani-201605.pdf

Cavalcanti-Bandos, M. F., Quispe-Prieto, S., Paucar-Caceres, A., Burrowes-Cromwell, T., & Rojas-Jiménez, H. H. (2021). Provision of education for sustainability development and sustainability literacy in business programs in three higher education institutions in Brazil, Colombia, and Peru. *International Journal of Sustainability in Higher Education*, 22(5), 1055–1086. <https://doi.org/10.1108/IJSHE-07-2020-0247>

Coubergs, C., Struyven, K., Vanthournout, G., & Engels, N. (2017). Measuring teachers' perceptions about differentiated instruction: The DI-Quest instrument and model. *Studies in Educational Evaluation*, 53, 41–54. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.stueduc.2017.02.004>

Comparative indicators of education in the United States and other G-20 countries. (2015). National Center for Education Statistics. https://nces.ed.gov/pubs2016/2016100/app_a18-1.asp

Daneman, D., & Benatar, S. (2019). Vitalizing the evaluation of curricular implementation: A framework for attending to the “how and whys” of curriculum evolution. *Advances in Health Sciences Education*.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2008). Teacher learning that supports student learning. *Educational Leadership*, 65(4), 46–51.

Darling-Hammond, L. (2010). The flat world and education: How America's commitment to equity will determine our future. Teachers College Press.

Dergipark. (n.d.). Research review on K–12 curriculum implementation in the Philippines.

Education system in the USA: Understanding the schooling system in the USA. (2022, February 26). Leap Scholar. <https://leapscholar.com/blog/education-system-in-the-usa-understanding-tschooling-system-in-the-usa/>

Education in Taiwan: The vision and goals of the 12-year curriculum. (n.d.). Brookings Institution. <https://www.brookings.edu/articles/education-in-taiwan-the-vision-and-goals-of-the-12-year-curriculum/>

Educational System. (2018, September 28). Ministry of Education, Taiwan. <https://english.moe.gov.tw/cp-126-17722-3fb83-1.html>

Eurydice. (2013). Eurydice highlights: The structure of the European education systems 2012/13. European Commission. http://eacea.ec.europa.eu/education/eurydice/documents/facts_and_figures/education_structures_EN.pdf

How the Canadian education system is different (and better) than the United States'. (2015, September 5). Bright Hub Education. <https://www.brighthubeducation.com/education-industry/129061-what-canada-is-doing-right-with-education/>

In Brazil, teaching and learning happen outside the box. (2014). World Bank Blogs. <https://blogs.worldbank.org/en/education/brazil-teaching-and-learning-happen-outside-box>

Italian language school teaching methods. (n.d.). Scuola Leonardo da Vinci. <https://www.scuoleleonardo.com/teaching-methods.html>

Japan Educational Travel. (2022). Japanese educational system. Japan Educational Travel. <https://education.jnto.go.jp/en/school-in-japan/japanese-education/>

Fullan, M. (2007). The new meaning of educational change. Teachers College Press.

Ghavifekr, S. (2020). Collaborative learning: A key to enhance students' social interaction skills. *Malaysian Online Journal of Educational Sciences*, 8(4), 9–21. <https://ojie.um.edu.my/index.php/MOJES/article/view/26394>

Guskey, T. R. (2002). Professional development and teacher change. *Teachers and Teaching*, 8(3), 381–391.

Haque, A., & David, S. A. (2022). Effective curriculum implementation for optimal teaching and learning experience: A study from private school in Dubai. *International Journal of Curriculum and Instruction*, 15(1), 1–20. <https://files.eric.ed.gov/fulltext/EJ1382891.pdf>

Hargreaves, A. (2003). *Teaching in the knowledge society: Education in the age of insecurity*. Teachers College Press.

La Velle, L., Newman, S., Montgomery, C., & Hyatt, D. (2020). Initial teacher education in England and the COVID-19 pandemic: Challenges and opportunities. *Journal of Education for Teaching*, 46(4), 1–13.

Levin, B. (2008). *How to change 5000 schools: A practical and positive approach for leading change at every level*. Harvard Education Press.

Miguel, M. (2021). Delivering an ambitious reform agenda for Argentina: How one leadership team shifted the culture towards focusing on learning and investing in human capacity. The Education Commission. <https://educationcommission.org/updates/delivering-an-ambitious-reform/>

Minniti, L. F. S., Melo, J. S. M., Oliveira, R. D., & Salles, J. A. A. (2017). The use of case studies as a teaching method in Brazil. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 237, 373–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sbspro.2017.02.024>

Monroy, C., & Mackie, C. (2022, March 15). Education in Peru. *World Education News and Reviews*. <https://wenr.wes.org/2022/03/education-in-peru-2>

Nacorda, S. H., Paras, M. C., Olila, J. N., & Coga, M. N. (2019). Best practices and problems in the initial implementation of the K+12 curriculum in Indonesia. *International Journal of Innovation and Research in Educational Sciences*.

Nicolosi, S., Pitrilo, C., & Alba, M. (2022). Teaching strategies in Italian primary school physical education during the COVID-19 pandemic. *Proceedings of the 4th European Conference on Education*. <https://www.dpublication.com/wp-content/uploads/2022/11/300>

OECD. (2005). *Teachers matter: Attracting, developing and retaining effective teachers*. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2018). *Competencies for 2030: Curriculum, assessment and teaching*. OECD iLibrary. https://www.oecd-ilibrary.org/education/education-policy-in-japan/competencies-for-2030-curriculum-assessment-and-teaching_9789264302402-5-en

OECD. (2019). *PISA 2018 results (Volume I): What students know and can do*. OECD Publishing.

OECD. (2020). *Curriculum reform: A literature review to support effective implementation*. [https://one.oecd.org/document/EDU/WKP\(2020\)27/En/pdf](https://one.oecd.org/document/EDU/WKP(2020)27/En/pdf)

Pozas, M., González Trujillo, J., & Letzel, V. (2021). A change of perspective: Exploring Mexican primary and secondary school students' perceptions of their teachers' differentiated instructional practice. *Journal of Research in Special Educational Needs*. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1471-3802.12514>

Schweisfurth, M. (2013). *Learner-centred education in international perspective: Whose pedagogy for whose development?* Routledge.

SEAMEO Secretariat. (n.d.). Southeast Asian Ministers of Education Organization. https://www.seameo.org/Main_about/100

Singapore. (n.d.). National Center on Education and the Economy. <https://ncee.org/country/singapore/>

Spillane, J. P., Reiser, B. J., & Reimer, T. (2002). Policy implementation and cognition: Reframing and refocusing implementation research. *Review of Educational Research*, 72(3), 387–431.

Supovitz, J. A., & Turner, H. M. (2000). The effects of professional development on science teaching practices and classroom culture. *Journal of Research in Science Teaching*, 37(9), 963–980.

Tuithof, H., Van Drie, J., Bronkhorst, L., Dorsman, L., & Van Tartwijk, J. (2021). Teachers' pedagogical content knowledge of two specific historical contexts captured and compared. *Educational Studies*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03055698.2021.1877621>

UNESCO. (2015). *Education for all 2000–2015: Achievements and challenges*. UNESCO Publishing.

UK Government. (2012). *Education system in the UK*. https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/219167/v01-2012ukes.pdf

Wang, H. (2011). The effectiveness of global citizenship education and curriculum implementation in China. *Journal of Education for International Understanding*, 7(1), 75–92.

Zhou, J. (2023). A comparative study of compulsory education between China and France. *Educational Humanities and Social Sciences*, 13, 283–288. <https://doi.org/10.54097/ehss.v13i.7914>