

Analyzing inequalities in educational attainment: the impact of social class, gender, and race

Arlene O. Borbon*

Guba National High School
Guba Cebu City, Philippines
Email: arleneborbon27@gmail.com

Regina P. Galigao

Cebu Technological University-Main Campus
M.J. Cuenco, Cebu City, Philippines
Email: reginpgaligao@gmail.com

Abstract: This study investigates the impact of social class, gender, and race on educational inequalities across regions using a data mining approach. By analyzing large datasets from academic journals, official reports, and institutional databases, it identifies key barriers to access and academic success. Findings reveal that financial and institutional constraints hinder social mobility for lower-income students, cultural norms drive gender disparities, and systemic bias limits opportunities for racial minorities. Using both quantitative and qualitative methods, the study uncovers patterns in inequities and highlights the need for inclusive policies to promote equitable education for all.

Keywords: Educational inequalities, Data mining approach, Systemic barriers, Social mobility, Gender disparities, Racial bias

*Corresponding Author**

Date Submitted: January 8, 2025
Date Accepted: January 30, 2025
Date Published: March 3, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Educational inequalities, shaped by social class, gender, and race, significantly impact development and social justice (Bourdieu & Passeron, 1977; Clercq, 2020). These disparities stem from systemic factors such as unequal access to resources and institutional biases, reinforcing social hierarchies (Edwards, 2024). While education aims to promote mobility, it often conditions individuals to accept predefined roles (Croizet et al., 2019).

Gender biases persist despite global efforts, with discriminatory practices disproportionately affecting women, especially in developing countries (Fontanella et al., 2020). These biases appear in curricula, teacher expectations, and enrollment rates, with legal and cultural systems further restricting female participation (Francis & Paechter, 2015; Fontanella et al., 2020).

Racial inequality compounds these challenges, as systemic racism in laws and institutions hinders minority educational outcomes (Iati, 2021). In the U.S., for example, Asian American youth benefit less from parental education compared to White peers (Assari et al., 2020).

While research has explored these issues, the interconnected effects of social class, gender, and race on education remain underexamined. This study addresses these gaps by analyzing how these factors collectively shape access, opportunities, and outcomes, contributing to the discourse on reducing inequality.

Statement of the problem

This research analyzed the inequalities in educational attainment focusing on social class differences, gender inequalities, and racial and ethnic inequalities. The study aims:

To analyze the impact of social class, gender, and race on educational attainment: This objective explores how these factors contribute to disparities in access, achievement, and opportunities in education, identifying patterns of inequality across diverse contexts.

To investigate cultural norms and systemic barriers related to social class, gender, and race: This objective focuses on how societal expectations, discriminatory practices, and systemic biases hinder educational progress for marginalized groups, with particular attention to gender norms and racial or ethnic discrimination.

To evaluate the role of policies and institutions in addressing inequalities based on social class, gender, and race: The goal is to assess the effectiveness of current strategies in mitigating disparities and propose evidence-based solutions to promote equitable educational opportunities for all.

METHODOLOGY

Research design

This study employs a data mining approach, a method to extract, organize, and analyze large volumes of data from diverse sources, uncovering patterns and insights. Data on educational gaps by race, gender, and social class come from academic journals, official reports, and databases. Key factors are identified and grouped for analysis. The study blends numbers-based methods to measure inequalities with qualitative analysis of case studies and policies.

Data analyses procedure

The research follows a structured process, starting with data collection from academic publications, policy reports, and databases on educational inequalities by social class, gender, and race. Key factors are identified and categorized for analysis. Qualitative methods, like thematic analysis of case studies and policies, reveal patterns, while quantitative methods measure disparities. Findings are compared to detect regional differences, global trends, and systemic factors. Finally, qualitative and quantitative insights are combined to suggest practical steps for promoting inclusivity and equity in education.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Social class

Social class is a group of people with the same social or economic status (Merriam-Webster). It includes factors like income, wealth, job prestige, education, values, lifestyle, and caste, showing that it is more than just economic standing (Cohen et al., 2017). Social class affects education by shaping access to resources, opportunities, and networks needed for success. Studies show that socioeconomic status strongly influences learning, with lower-income students facing more challenges. Key factors in this connection include parental support, access to higher education, and education's role in social mobility.

Social class on educational attainment

Social class has a major impact on education, shaping students' academic paths and opportunities. Research from different countries shows that students from wealthier families perform better in school due to greater access to resources (Pensiero & Schoon, 2019; Liu et al., 2020; Blanden, Doepke, & Stuhler, 2023). Schools also contribute to these gaps, as seen

in France's tracking system, which separates students by class (Croizet et al., 2019). These patterns persist across generations, reinforcing inequality (Koza Çiftçi & Melis Cin, 2017).

While the connection between social class and education exists everywhere, its effects vary. In wealthier countries like the US and Germany, income differences lead to educational gaps (Blanden et al., 2023). In France, class, race, and gender influence student placement through tracking systems (Croizet et al., 2019). In lower-income countries like Indonesia and the Philippines, family income plays a larger role, with financial struggles making it harder for poor students to complete higher education (Ramirez Yee, 2024; Hardiyanti, Oruh, & Agustang, 2022). These differences highlight how economic and social structures shape educational outcomes.

Reducing these gaps benefits society by improving social mobility, lowering crime, and increasing civic participation (Pensiero & Schoon, 2019). Fair access to education helps students from all backgrounds succeed, creating stronger communities (Liu et al., 2020). Schools also benefit by reducing dropout rates, increasing diversity, and developing a more skilled workforce (Koza Çiftçi & Melis Cin, 2017).

The link between social class and education comes from access to resources, family support, and school policies. Wealthier families provide books, computers, and extracurricular activities that help students excel (Liu et al., 2020). Parents' education and involvement also shape success, while school policies often favor wealthier students (Croizet et al., 2019). Economic stability supports education, but financial crises make it harder for low-income students to continue their studies (Ramirez Yee, 2024).

Overall, social class strongly influences education worldwide. While the relationship between income and school success is similar across countries, the reasons behind these gaps differ. Addressing these inequalities through fair education policies is crucial for building a more just and successful society (Hardiyanti et al., 2022).

Parents' social class

Parents' socioeconomic status (SES) plays a major role in shaping students' academic performance. Both Sujana et al. (2024) and Hardiyanti et al. (2022) highlight that students from higher-income families tend to perform better due to access to learning materials and a supportive home environment. They also emphasize how positive parenting styles improve student motivation, especially in social studies. Active parental involvement is crucial in helping students stay engaged and succeed in school.

The studies differ in focus and methods. Sujana et al. (2024) examine how parenting styles and student interest influence learning outcomes, using multiple regression analysis on 84 students. In contrast, Hardiyanti et al. (2022) focus on how SES and social background affect social studies performance, using a correlational method with 43 students. While one looks at overall learning behavior, the other focuses on social studies. Despite these differences, both agree that reducing SES gaps and involving parents in education can improve academic outcomes. Programs that teach effective parenting and provide learning resources can help students perform better.

For schools, the research highlights the shared role of families and teachers in education. Schools can address financial barriers while encouraging parental support to create a better learning environment. Providing equal access to resources and fostering family involvement can bridge educational gaps. These studies reinforce the need for fair education policies that ensure all students, regardless of their background, have opportunities to succeed.

Getting into higher education

Social class influences the decisions an individual makes around the type of higher education institution, degree level, and the focus of study they are to pursue. The studies conducted by Flannery and Cullinan (2014), Delaney (2017), and Ramirez Yee (2024) share several similarities, primarily in their emphasis on the influence of social class on educational opportunities and outcomes. All three studies reveal that social class significantly shapes decisions regarding higher education, such as the choice of institution, degree level, and field of study (Flannery & Cullinan, 2014; Delaney, 2017; Ramirez Yee, 2024). Additionally, they stress how institutional obstacles prevent underprivileged students from pursuing higher education, hence sustaining social injustices. Additionally, each study emphasizes how critical it is to address these injustices by focused policies, whether in the Philippines or Ireland, in order to lessen the long-lasting effects of socioeconomic disparities.

Despite their differences, these studies share key themes in examining social class and educational inequality. Flannery and Cullinan (2014) highlight how social status and geographic accessibility shape education and employment in Ireland, while Delaney (2017) applies Bourdieu's theory to explore the challenges of working-class part-time graduates. Ramirez Yee (2024) analyzes historical educational inequality in the Philippines, linking economic downturns and social background to attainment gaps. These perspectives illustrate how social class interacts with geographic, temporal, and structural factors to influence educational outcomes.

Their similarities reveal systemic barriers that hinder disadvantaged individuals, emphasizing the need for inclusive policies addressing geographic and economic inequalities. Recognizing shared challenges across contexts encourages cross-cultural collaboration and policy-sharing. Flannery and Cullinan (2014) advocate for improved geographic accessibility, Delaney (2017) stresses flexible learning pathways, and Ramirez Yee (2024) highlights the role of economic safety nets during downturns.

These findings underscore the need for policies that reduce SES-related disparities, improve remote access to education, and provide flexible learning options. While universal strategies are essential, context-specific interventions—such as support for part-time graduates in Ireland or economic relief measures in the Philippines—remain crucial. Together, these studies highlight the enduring influence of social class on education and call for targeted, multidimensional policies to promote equity and social mobility.

Education as a means of social mobility

Higher education is frequently seen as a means of enabling those from lower socioeconomic origins to move up the social mobility ladder. It is believed that this mobility is essential for both personal and societal advancement. The studies by Blanden, Doepke, and Stuhler (2023) and Delaney and Farren (2016) both explore the relationship between socioeconomic background and educational outcomes, with a shared focus on the barriers faced by individuals from lower socioeconomic groups. A key similarity is the emphasis on how educational inequality, deeply rooted in family origins, impacts social mobility. Blanden et al. (2023) highlight the "Educational Great Gatsby Curve," which illustrates the correlation between income inequality and reduced social mobility, while Delaney and Farren (2016) investigate the challenges that working-class graduates face in attaining employability and professional recognition through part-time remote learning. Both studies underscore that systemic barriers hinder the potential of education to act as a tool for upward social mobility, thereby perpetuating inequality across generations.

Though both studies focus on education and inequality, they differ in approach. Blanden et al. (2023) take a broad view, analyzing how income inequality affects education across generations. Delaney and Farren (2016) focus on individuals, exploring the struggles

of working-class graduates in part-time remote learning. This contrast helps understand both large-scale economic factors and personal challenges in education.

Both studies provide insights that help communities address barriers to social mobility. Blanden et al. (2023) show how income inequality limits opportunities, pushing for policies that improve access to education. Delaney and Farren (2016) highlight the real-life struggles of working-class students, stressing the need for flexible learning options and better support systems.

From a social perspective, these findings call for more inclusive education. Blanden et al. (2023) emphasize reducing income gaps to boost social mobility, while Delaney and Farren (2016) highlight the stigma faced by part-time learners, advocating for greater acceptance of non-traditional education paths. Together, they suggest creating a supportive system that values different learning experiences.

In education, both studies stress the need to remove systemic barriers. Blanden et al. (2023) push for policies that make education more accessible, while Delaney and Farren (2016) call for better funding and recognition of part-time learning. Solutions like scholarships, remote learning infrastructure, and targeted programs can help level the playing field.

Both studies show that economic background shapes education and social mobility. Addressing these issues requires both broad policy changes and support for individual learners. By reducing income inequality, expanding learning options, and valuing diverse education paths, societies can create fairer opportunities for all (Blanden et al., 2023; Delaney & Farren, 2016).

Gender

Gender refers to the social, cultural, psychological, and behavioral traits that society links to males and females based on roles and expectations (Prodan, 2023). It is shaped by societal norms rather than just biology. Studies on gender and education reveal ongoing differences in learning outcomes, program success, and broader social effects across various regions and groups. Differences in educational achievement highlight how social, economic, and cultural factors interact. While some areas have progressed toward gender equality, significant gaps remain, requiring targeted efforts to ensure fair education for all.

Gender on educational attainment

Research indicates that gender differences in educational attainment are prevalent in many societies. The studies by Mensah and Kiernan (2010), Weir (2016), Wong et al. (2020), and White et al. (2016) all emphasize the persistent presence of gender disparities in educational attainment across various contexts. A key similarity among these studies is the identification of systemic barriers rooted in societal norms and inequalities. Mensah and Kiernan (2010) illustrate how gender disparities in education manifest early in life in British children, while White et al. (2016) explore the influence of traditional gender roles and familial responsibilities in India. Both studies highlight the role of entrenched societal norms in shaping educational opportunities for boys and girls. Similarly, Wong et al. (2020) and Weir (2016) document educational disparities in the UK and the United States, respectively, noting how these gaps often intersect with race and ethnicity, further amplifying inequality.

Though both studies focus on gender inequality, they differ in context. In developed countries like the UK and US, disparities are linked to race and ethnicity, with ethnic minorities facing greater challenges (Wong et al., 2020; Weir, 2016). In contrast, gender inequality in developing countries like India is tied to economic struggles and traditional

roles (White et al., 2016). These differences show that solutions must fit each region's unique challenges.

Both studies highlight the need for targeted action to close gender gaps in education. Recognizing common barriers can lead to global efforts for gender equity. For example, addressing gender biases early, as Mensah and Kiernan (2010) suggest, could help prevent future inequalities. Encouraging family support, as White et al. (2016) highlight, can also help promote girls' education.

Socially, these findings show the need to challenge norms that reinforce gender inequality. White et al. (2016) stress breaking traditional roles that limit girls' education, while Wong et al. (2020) focus on creating fairer learning environments. Changing attitudes can help reduce stereotypes and expand opportunities for all.

In education, a broad approach is needed to close gender gaps. Mensah and Kiernan (2010) emphasize early childhood education policies, while Wong et al. (2020) and Weir (2016) push for programs that support ethnic minorities in higher education. More funding for scholarships and community education, along with gender-sensitive teacher training, can help level the playing field (White et al., 2016).

These studies show that gender inequality in education is shaped by larger social and economic factors. In the US and UK, it is closely tied to race and class (Weir, 2016; Wong et al., 2020), while in developing countries, cultural traditions and economic struggles play a major role (White et al., 2016). Tackling these challenges together can lead to fairer education systems and better opportunities for all (Mensah & Kiernan, 2010; White et al., 2016).

Social norms on education outcomes

Social norms have a significant influence on various gender outcomes, especially in education. Research emphasizes how crucial it is to address gender role issues to increase educational attainment and involvement. Social perceptions of gender affect educational results. The widespread impact of patriarchal norms and historical traditions on gender gaps in education is a theme uniting South Korea, India, Indonesia, and Pakistan. In any situation, the educational opportunities accessible to women are significantly shaped by cultural norms and societal attitudes. Both academic achievement and educational access are influenced by gender role prejudices in society and parental expectations. Historically, these conventions have limited women's access to education by placing men above women (Firdoos, Naz, & Masud, 2023; Hastuti, 2022).

Despite similarities, the challenges each country faces are different. Both Indonesia and South Korea have patriarchal traditions, but Indonesia has made more progress in closing gender gaps in education. In India, traditional beliefs and family duties limit girls' schooling, especially in rural and low-income areas, while in Pakistan, family expectations and societal views have a strong influence on education (Hastuti, 2022; White et al., 2016). India has also shown that better resources and family support improve girls' education, which is less emphasized in Pakistan (White et al., 2016).

These differences highlight ways to reduce gender gaps. Indonesia's progress shows that good policies and targeted programs can change attitudes and create opportunities. In India, family support plays a key role in helping girls succeed, encouraging others to challenge traditional norms (White et al., 2016). Better access to education benefits society by increasing literacy and workforce participation. Investing in girls' education, as seen in Indonesia, leads to long-term social and economic improvements (Hastuti, 2022).

However, challenges remain. In South Korea, patriarchal traditions are deeply rooted, slowing progress even in a developed country (Hastuti, 2022). In Pakistan and rural India, strict cultural beliefs and economic struggles continue to limit girls' education (Firdoos, Naz, & Masud, 2023).

Gender inequality in education affects both individuals and society. When girls lack access to schooling, it reduces workforce skills, slows economic growth, and keeps poverty cycles going. Poor funding or slow reforms can make these problems worse, as seen in South Korea's slower progress compared to Indonesia despite having more economic resources (Hastuti, 2022).

Inclusive education policies

Creating inclusive education policies means identifying and removing the barriers that cause gender inequalities in education. Research shows that effective strategies need to be based on a clear understanding of local situations and the unique challenges faced by different gender groups. To reduce the impact of societal attitudes on gender and education, comprehensive policy measures are essential.

A common finding in these studies is that gender gaps in education are shaped by societal norms, institutions, and resources. Cultural attitudes and systemic inequalities affect access and achievement differently. In Pakistan, social norms and lack of resources limit girls' education, while in other places, rigid education systems negatively impact boys' performance (Jamal et al., 2023; Van Hek et al., 2019).

The specific challenges vary by region. In Pakistan, gender gaps stem from cultural discrimination and limited resources, leading to efforts to support girls. Elsewhere, rigid education systems hurt boys' reading skills, while early differentiation benefits girls (Van Hek et al., 2019). The Philippines, despite ranking high in gender equality, still has gender bias in school materials (Curaming & Curaming, 2020). Solutions also differ: Pakistan focuses on improving schools, training teachers, and involving communities (Jamal et al., 2023), while other countries aim for more flexible education and better support for boys (Hadjar & Buchmann, 2016; Legewie & DiPrete, 2012).

These differences guide targeted solutions. Updating school materials in the Philippines can help reduce stereotypes (Curaming & Curaming, 2020). Community programs and teacher training in Pakistan can empower girls (Jamal et al., 2023). More flexible education systems can support both genders and close learning gaps (Hadjar & Buchmann, 2016).

However, challenges remain. Strict education systems can worsen gender gaps by failing to meet students' needs (Van Hek et al., 2019). In places like Pakistan, deep-rooted beliefs and lack of resources slow reforms (Jamal et al., 2023). Even in gender-equal countries, biases in school materials reflect larger inequalities, making it harder to achieve true equality (Curaming & Curaming, 2020). These issues show the need for well-planned and locally adapted solutions.

Race

Race is a social construct based on physical traits, culture, and history, shaping identities and society. It is not a biological fact but a debated social idea (Burns & Vaughn, 2021). Race affects education by influencing representation, resources, and biases. Disparities include achievement gaps, unequal resources, and differences in discipline, showing deep inequalities. Addressing these issues is key to ensuring fairness and success for all students.

Racial disparities and disciplinary policies

Disciplinary policies play a key role in shaping students' experiences, affecting their access to and success in education. These policies can either reinforce or reduce inequalities, making it essential to understand their impact to promote inclusive education. Studies show that disciplinary actions often disproportionately affect Black, Latina/o, and low-income students (Barrett et al., 2017; Varela et al., 2018).

A common finding is that these groups face harsher punishments, leading to higher pushout rates and worsening educational inequalities. Barrett et al. (2017) showed that Black and low-income students are more likely to receive severe discipline than their White and wealthier peers. Varela et al. (2018) found similar patterns, with Black and Latino students experiencing high expulsion and suspension rates, regardless of their school setting.

However, differences exist in how these policies affect students. Peguero et al. (2021) found that both overly strict and overly lenient disciplinary approaches harm learning environments, increasing grade retention rates, especially among minority students. This suggests that the effectiveness of discipline varies depending on the school's location and demographics.

These studies highlight the need for balanced, fair disciplinary policies. Peguero et al. (2021) stress that discipline should not stigmatize students but instead create supportive environments that reduce retention rates. Barrett et al. (2017) also show that low-income students of all races face harsher discipline, proving that socioeconomic status must be considered in policy reforms. Addressing these disparities can benefit both students and communities by promoting fairness and reducing social inequalities.

Despite these insights, challenges remain. Varela et al. (2018) warn that even well-meaning policies may fail if they do not tackle systemic biases. Peguero et al. (2021) further note that inconsistent disciplinary practices can make inequalities worse, proving that reforms must address both immediate and long-term issues to create truly equitable education systems.

Racial disparities in educational access

Racial disparities in education remain a major issue worldwide, with non-white and minority students often facing systemic barriers. Studies show that structural inequalities, cultural biases, and economic challenges limit their opportunities. In the UK, the "Disrupt the Discourse" project tackles the degree gap for non-white students (Brazant, 2024). In South Asia and the Philippines, caste, ethnicity, and poverty restrict access to education (Reyes et al., 2017; Stash & Hannum, 2001). In the US and the Philippines, systemic racism and historical segregation continue to shape educational outcomes (Durkee et al., 2021; Adams, 2022).

A shared finding across studies is that marginalized groups—such as Black students in the US, indigenous Muslims in the Philippines, and lower-caste individuals in South Asia—face compounded disadvantages due to economic and systemic biases. For example, caste and ethnicity still influence educational access in South Asia (Stash & Hannum, 2001), while Muslim and indigenous students in the Philippines struggle with limited resources (Reyes et al., 2017).

However, the challenges vary by region. The UK focuses on curriculum reform and anti-racist teaching (Brazant, 2024), while the US addresses racial microaggressions and systemic bias in predominantly white institutions (Adams, 2022; Durkee et al., 2021). These differences show how racial disparities are shaped by local history and culture.

Understanding these variations helps in designing effective interventions. The UK's curriculum reforms promote inclusion and representation (Brazant, 2024), while South Asia and the Philippines require better access to basic educational services (Stash & Hannum, 2001; Reyes et al., 2017). Diversity efforts in colleges have also been found to boost degree attainment, though the impact varies by race and socioeconomic background (Dorimé-Williams & Giani, 2022).

Despite these efforts, progress is slow. In the UK, the degree gap remains despite reform initiatives (Brazant, 2024). In the US, Black and Latinx students continue to face systemic racism and microaggressions, showing that existing policies are not enough (Durkee

et al., 2021). Addressing these disparities globally is complex. While South Asia and the Philippines need infrastructure investments, the US and UK must focus on tackling institutional and cultural biases. The diversity of challenges makes it clear that solutions must be tailored to each region's specific needs.

Role of educators

Educators play a key role in addressing race and racism in education, shaping student success and well-being. Studies highlight challenges White teachers face with racial issues and how teacher-student racial dynamics affect discipline and discrimination (Solic & Riley, 2019; Lindsay & Hart, 2017; Civitillo et al., 2024; Del Toro & Hughes, 2020).

Teachers' racial awareness and biases influence student experiences. Extra training helps White teachers develop racial literacy (Solic & Riley, 2019). Black teachers are less likely to impose harsh punishments on Black students, showing the benefits of cultural understanding (Lindsay & Hart, 2017). Discrimination from teachers negatively affects students' well-being and performance (Civitillo et al., 2024), and in elite schools, professor bias lowers grades and delays graduation for minority students (Del Toro & Hughes, 2020).

Solutions must be specific—racial literacy training prepares teachers for diverse classrooms (Solic & Riley, 2019), hiring more minority teachers can reduce discipline gaps (Lindsay & Hart, 2017), and elite schools need structural reforms to address persistent discrimination (Del Toro & Hughes, 2020). Support systems like mental health services and cultural training for educators are also essential (Civitillo et al., 2024).

To ensure equity in education, targeted solutions—better training, diverse hiring, and institutional reforms—are needed to create inclusive learning environments.

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Education systems function both as engines of social mobility and mechanisms of systemic inequality, aligning with Bourdieu's Theory of Social Reproduction, Conflict Theory, and Human Capital Theory. While education is seen as an equalizer, structural barriers reinforce existing hierarchies.

Socioeconomic disparities shape educational attainment, as Bourdieu's concept of cultural and social capital explains—privileged students benefit from greater resources, while lower-income students face underfunded schools with fewer opportunities. Bowles and Gintis' Correspondence Principle further illustrates how education maintains class divisions, steering working-class students into lower-paid jobs while elite institutions groom future leaders (Bowles & Gintis, 1976). The digital divide worsens these inequities, particularly in low-income areas.

Vocational education, though a potential path to employability, is often perceived as inferior, disproportionately funneling working-class students into limited career options. Human Capital Theory suggests that education enhances workforce potential, yet unequal access prevents all individuals from benefiting equally. Aligning vocational training with market demands can help bridge this gap.

Gender disparities persist, as Feminist and Gender Stratification Theories highlight. In patriarchal societies, girls face barriers like early marriage and caregiving duties, while in developed regions, gender norms discourage women from STEM fields and men from caregiving roles. Programs integrating virtue ethics often reinforce, rather than dismantle, these norms.

Critical Race Theory (CRT) explains how racial and ethnic disparities arise from economic marginalization, implicit bias, and segregation, leading to lower enrollment, higher dropout rates, and restricted access to quality education. Hidden curricula further exclude

marginalized histories, reinforcing a sense of exclusion. However, culturally responsive pedagogy and scholarship programs show that targeted efforts can help mitigate these disparities.

Intersectionality Theory underscores how race, gender, and class interact, creating deeper marginalization. Ethnic minority girls in low-income communities face triple barriers—economic hardship, cultural expectations, and limited mentorship. Similarly, vocational programs often overrepresent marginalized groups in lower-status fields, reinforcing stereotypes and restricting career mobility.

Addressing these systemic disparities requires investment in infrastructure, technology access, and teacher training. Culturally responsive curricula, gender-transformative policies, and improved vocational education can promote equity. By tackling these overlapping inequalities, education can shift from reinforcing hierarchy to fostering mobility and inclusion.

To address educational inequalities, governments and schools should expand scholarships, financial aid, and mentorship programs for low-income students. Early childhood education and vocational training can help bridge financial gaps. Gender disparities can be reduced by promoting inclusive learning, encouraging girls in STEM, and supporting boys in caregiving careers. Teacher training should address gender bias, while racial disparities require fair disciplinary policies, diverse educators, and better support for minority students. Schools must invest in marginalized communities and tailor solutions to regional needs. Collaboration among governments, educators, and global organizations is key to ensuring equal educational opportunities for all.

REFERENCES

- Adams, T. L. (2022). Suburban school access versus equality of educational experiences: Race and student achievement in the suburbs. *Journal of Negro Education*, 91(4), 541–553.
- Assari, S., Boyce, S., Bazargan, M., & Caldwell, C. H. (2020). Mathematical performance of American youth: Diminished returns of educational attainment of Asian-American parents. *Education Sciences*, 10(2), 32.
- Barrett, N., McEachin, A., Mills, J. N., & Valant, J. (2017). Disparities in student discipline by race and family income. *Education Research Alliance NOLA*, 1–56.
- Blanden, J., Doepke, M., & Stuhler, J. (2023). Educational inequality. In *Handbook of the Economics of Education* (Vol. 6, pp. 405–497). Elsevier.
- Bourdieu, P. (1986). The forms of capital. In J. Richardson (Ed.), *Handbook of theory and research for the sociology of education* (pp. 241–258). Greenwood Press.
- Bourdieu, P., & Passeron, J. C. (1977). *Reproduction in education, society and culture*. Sage.
- Bowles, S., & Gintis, H. (1976). *Schooling in capitalist America: Educational reform and the contradictions of economic life*. Basic Books.
- Brazant, K. J. (2024). Disrupting the discourse: Applying critical race theory as a conceptual framework for reflecting on learning and teaching in higher education. *Equity in Education & Society*, 3(1), 61–75. <https://doi.org/10.1177/27526461231163325>
- Burns, M. A., & Vaughn, K. R. (2021). Race metatheory: Toward a dissolution of a calamitous concept. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice*, 52(5), 487–493. <https://doi.org/10.1037/pro0000416>
- Civitillo, S., Mayer, A. M., & Jugert, P. (2024). A systematic review and meta-analysis of the associations between perceived teacher-based racial–ethnic discrimination and student well-being and academic outcomes. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 116(5), 719.
- Clercq, F. D. (2020). The persistence of South African educational inequalities: The need for understanding and relying on analytical frameworks. *Education as Change*, 24(1), 1–22.

- Cohen, D., Shin, F., Liu, X., Ondish, P., & Kraus, M. W. (2017). Defining social class across time and between groups. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 43(11), 1530–1545.
- Crenshaw, K. (1989). Demarginalizing the intersection of race and sex: A Black feminist critique of antidiscrimination doctrine, feminist theory, and antiracist politics. *University of Chicago Legal Forum*, 1989(1), 139–167.
- Croizet, J.-C., Autin, F., Goudeau, S., Marot, M., & Millet, M. (2019). Education and social class: Highlighting how the educational system perpetuates social inequality. In *The social psychology of inequality* (pp. 139–152). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-28856-3_9
- Curaming, E. M., & Curaming, R. A. (2020). Gender (in) equality in English textbooks in the Philippines: A critical discourse analysis. *Sexuality & Culture*, 24(4), 1167–1188.
- Del Toro, J., & Hughes, D. (2020). Trajectories of discrimination across the college years: Associations with academic, psychological, and physical adjustment outcomes. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 49(4), 772–789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-019-01147-3>
- Delaney, L. (2017). Part-time university graduates: Social class, distance learning, employability and Pierre Bourdieu (Doctoral dissertation, Dublin City University).
- Delaney, L., & Farren, M. (2016). No ‘self’ left behind? Part-time distance learning university graduates: Social class, graduate identity and employability. *Open Learning: The Journal of Open, Distance and e-Learning*, 31(3), 194–208. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02680513.2016.1208553>
- Dorimé-Williams, M. L., & Giani, M. S. (2022). Impact of race, class, and involvement on collegiate degree attainment. *Journal of College Student Retention: Research, Theory & Practice*, 23(4), 989–1017.
- Durkee, M. I., Perkins, T. R., & Hope, E. C. (2021). Academic affect shapes the relationship between racial discrimination and longitudinal college attitudes. *Social Psychology of Education: An International Journal*, 24(1), 59–74. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-020-09602-x>
- Edwards. (2024, July 9). Understanding social class in sociology. Easy Sociology. <https://easysociology.com/general-sociology/understanding-social-class-in-sociology/>
- Firdoos, A., Naz, F. L., & Masud, Z. (2023). Impact of cultural norms and social expectations for shaping gender disparities in educational attainment in Pakistan. *Qlantic Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 4(3), 166–172.
- Flannery, D., & Cullinan, J. (2014). Where they go, what they do and why it matters: The importance of geographic accessibility and social class for decisions relating to higher education institution type, degree level and field of study. *Applied Economics*, 46(24), 2952–2965. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036846.2014.916392>
- Fontanella, L., Sarra, A., & Di Zio, S. (2020). Do gender differences in social institutions matter in shaping gender equality in education and the labour market? Empirical evidence from developing countries. *Social Indicators Research*, 147(1), 133–158.
- Francis, B., & Paechter, C. (2015). The problem of gender categorisation: Addressing dilemmas past and present in gender and education research. *Gender and Education*, 27(7), 776–790.
- Hadjar, A., & Buchmann, C. (2016). Education systems and gender inequalities in educational attainment. In *Education systems and inequalities* (pp. 159–184). Policy Press.
- Hardiyanti, A., Oruh, S., & Agustang, A. (2022). The influence of parents' social status and economic conditions on social studies learning achievement of elementary school students 25 Madello Soppeng regency. *JED (Jurnal Etika Demokrasi)*, 7(1), 208–218.
- Hastuti, D. (2022, December). Gender gap in education and employment in Asia: Indonesia and South Korea compared. In *International Conference of Social Science and Education (ICOSSED 2021)* (pp. 116–121). Atlantis Press.
- Iati, M. (2021). What is critical race theory, and why do Republicans want to ban it in schools? *The Washington Post*, 29.

- Jamal, B., Niazi, S., Arshad, R., Muhammad, W., Manzoor, F., Khan, M. H. N., & Naseem, A. (2023). Addressing gender disparities in education: Empowering girls through education in Pakistan. *Russian Law Journal*, 11(12S), 15–25.
- Koza Çiftçi, Ş., & Melis Cin, F. (2017). The effect of socioeconomic status on students' achievement. In *The factors effecting student achievement* (pp. 171–181). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-56083-0_10
- Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). Toward a theory of culturally relevant pedagogy. *American Educational Research Journal*, 32(3), 465–491. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312032003465>
- Legewie, J., & DiPrete, T. A. (2012). School context and the gender gap in educational achievement. *American Sociological Review*, 77(3), 463–485.
- Lindsay, C. A., & Hart, C. M. (2017). Exposure to same-race teachers and student disciplinary outcomes for Black students in North Carolina. *Educational Evaluation and Policy Analysis*, 39(3), 485–510.
- Liu, J., Peng, P., & Luo, L. (2020). The relation between family socioeconomic status and academic achievement in China: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review*, 32, 49–76.
- Mensah, F. K., & Kiernan, K. E. (2010). Gender differences in educational attainment: Influences of the family environment. *British Educational Research Journal*, 36(2), 239–260.
- Peguro, A. A., Varela, K. S., Marchbanks III, M. P. T., Blake, J., & Eason, J. M. (2021). School punishment and education: Racial/ethnic disparities with grade retention and the role of urbanicity. *Urban Education*, 56(2), 228–260.
- Pensiero, N., & Schoon, I. (2019). Social inequalities in educational attainment: The changing impact of parents' social class, social status, education, and family income, England 1986 and 2010. *Longitudinal and Life Course Studies*, 10(1), 87–108.
- Prodan, V. (2023). The paradigm of gender inclusion in the right to education: Domestic and international experience. *Uzhhorod National University Herald. Series: Law*.
- Ramirez Yee, K. M. (2024). At all costs: Educational expansion and persistent inequality in the Philippines. *Higher Education*, 87(6), 1809–1827.
- Reyes, C. M., Mina, C. D., & Asis, R. D. (2017). Inequality of opportunities among ethnic groups in the Philippines (No. 2017-42). *PIDS Discussion Paper Series*.
- Schultz, T. W. (1961). Investment in human capital. *The American Economic Review*, 51(1), 1–17.
- Social class. (2025). In *Merriam-Webster Dictionary*. <https://www.merriam-webster.com/dictionary/social%20class>
- Solic, K., & Riley, K. C. (2019). Teacher candidates' experiences taking up issues of race and racism in an urban education fellowship program. *Action in Teacher Education*, 41, 116–199.
- Stash, S., & Hannum, E. (2001). Who goes to school? Educational stratification by gender, caste, and ethnicity in Nepal. *Comparative Education Review*, 45(3), 354–378.
- Sujana, I. N., Dharmayasa, I. P. A., & Ardiani, N. W. D. (2024). The impact of students' learning interests and parenting patterns on economic learning outcomes. *Jurnal Mimbar Ilmu*, 29(1), 185–192.
- Van Hek, M., Buchmann, C., & Kraaykamp, G. (2019). Educational systems and gender differences in reading: A comparative multilevel analysis. *European Sociological Review*, 35(2), 169–186.
- Varela, K. S., Peguro, A. A., Eason, J. M., Marchbanks III, M. P. T., & Blake, J. (2018). School strictness and education: Investigating racial and ethnic educational inequalities associated with being pushed out. *Sociology of Race and Ethnicity*, 4(2), 261–280.
- Weir, K. (2016). Inequality at school. *American Psychological Association*. <https://www.apa.org/monitor/2016/11/cover-inequality-school>
- White, G., Ruther, M., Kahn, J. R., & Dong, D. (2016). Gender inequality amid educational expansion in India: An analysis of gender differences in the attainment of reading and mathematics skills. *Journal of Research in Gender Studies*, 6(2), 153.

Wong, B., Elmorally, R., Copsey-Blake, M., Highwood, E., & Singarayer, J. (2020). Is race still relevant? Student perceptions and experiences of racism in higher education. *Cambridge Journal of Education*, 51(3), 359–375. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0305764X.2020.1831441>