

The politics of participation: stakeholder engagement and its influence on educational policy and reform outcomes

Linrose L. Orge*

Cebu Technological University - San Francisco Campus

Email: linrose.orge@ctu.edu.ph

Baylon P. Gadra

Teacher, ISCAHM-Cebu

Regina P. Galigao

Instructor, Cebu Technological University - Main Campus

Email: reginpgaligao@gmail.com

Abstract: This study investigates the relationship between educational policy and reform and stakeholder engagement which includes parents, educators, government agencies, and community organizations. The agendas and interests of stakeholders frequently collide or overlap, resulting in a complicated political environment where policy choices are decided. This study attempts to comprehend how stakeholder involvement influences policy priorities, the implementation process, and reform outcomes in education by looking at case studies from different nations. Based on the data gathered, the prevailing stakeholder engagement themes that primarily affected the educational policy and reforms outcomes are the power dynamics, stakeholder collaboration and feedback mechanisms. A conceptual framework has been developed to further understand the prevailing stakeholder engagement themes in relation to educational policy and reform outcomes.

Keywords: Educational Policy, Educational Reforms, Outcomes, Participation, Politics, Stakeholder Engagement

*Corresponding Author**

Date Submitted: January 24, 2025

Date Accepted: February 19, 2025

Date Published: March 19, 2025

INTRODUCTION

Reform and policy in education are rarely carried out in a vacuum. They are intricately linked to the political environment, which is influenced by the interaction of interests, power, and divergent ideas on how education should develop in the future. The importance of stakeholder participation in negotiating this complicated political landscape is examined in this introduction. We will look at the ways that the process of including different stakeholders—students, educators, parents, administrators, legislators, and community members—affects the creation, application, and eventual success or failure of educational reforms and policies. The main contention is that, despite the potential for more effective and fair educational institutions, the politics of participation itself can have a big influence on results, occasionally having unforeseen repercussions or escalating already-existing disparities. This calls for a rigorous analysis of the underlying power dynamics.

Statement of the problem

This paper aimed to investigate the influence of stakeholder engagement as a political factor in the implementation of educational policies and reform outcomes. Significantly, it uncovers the stakeholders participation in the conduct of educational policies and reforms situation across countries educational context.

METHODOLOGY

This study will use data mining techniques to explore how stakeholder engagement influences educational policy and reform outcomes. Data will be collected from journal, articles and other education databases, and was analyzed using methods such as text mining, sentiment analysis, and clustering. The findings will provide insights into how stakeholder engagement shapes policy effectiveness, offering recommendations for more inclusive and impactful educational reforms. Ethical considerations, including data privacy and bias mitigation, will be prioritized throughout the analysis.

FINDINGS AND DISCUSSION

Support to students

The data illustrates how stakeholder engagement influences educational support policies globally, with each country prioritizing values shaped by its stakeholders. In Singapore, government stakeholders focus on early intervention for low-performing students, aiming to promote social mobility and inclusivity. Germany's model, driven by public and government interests, emphasizes equal access, with minimal focus on performance incentives, reflecting a value for education as a universal right. The Netherlands combines access with accountability, aligning with stakeholder expectations by incentivizing academic responsibility. Australia and Estonia, influenced by stakeholders who prioritize talent cultivation, focus resources on high achievers. In Europe, diverse support mechanisms reflect a collaborative approach, meeting a wide range of stakeholder needs to balance equity and performance.

Collaboration

The studies from Singapore, Europe, and Thailand emphasize the global importance of collaborative learning for educators, each with a unique focus. In Singapore, structured time for collaboration and professional development supports teacher well-being amidst rising stress, highlighting collaboration as a path to teacher satisfaction and resilience. Europe similarly values collaboration, recommending it as a beneficial practice across various educational systems. Thailand, however, takes a cross-national approach, viewing international relationships as collaborative learning opportunities, fostering mutual growth and understanding through an educational program involving diverse educators and students. In the Philippines, the teacher, school head and parents are vital elements for collaboration in the success of any program implementation. Together, these studies affirm collaboration as essential for teacher growth and global educational exchange.

Local community involvement

The extent and nature of this involvement can significantly influence educational outcomes, as evidenced by various international case studies. In Ireland, a strong tradition of partnership models and stakeholder engagement in education policy-making has been bolstered by support from OECD countries, reflecting a commitment to responsive governance in complex environments. South Africa emphasizes the importance of local community involvement in enhancing rural education outcomes through stakeholder participation, recognizing that collaborative efforts can effectively address educational challenges and improve quality. In India, the decentralization of educational management has underscored the crucial role of the

The politics of participation: stakeholder engagement and its influence on educational policy and reform outcomes

community as a significant stakeholder in school improvement, moving towards more responsive and accountable education systems that actively involve local populations.

Positive attitude

Across China, India, and Nigeria, stakeholder engagement plays a critical role in educational policy and reform. The key to success in each country lies in fostering positive attitudes among stakeholders, ensuring diverse perspectives are included, and promoting effective collaboration and communication. While China highlights the correlation between positive attitudes and engagement levels, India emphasizes the importance of diverse perspectives for societal alignment, and Nigeria focuses on community-responsive policies through collaboration. Each approach contributes to the overarching goal of improving educational outcomes through effective stakeholder engagement.

Consensus-building

Every nation uses a different approach to involve stakeholders in educational reforms. The goal of Sweden's varied advisory commissions and Finland's decentralized strategy is to promote cooperation and consensus. Effective stakeholder involvement and managing the political aspects of educational policy reforms in the USA require overcoming communication hurdles and comprehending a variety of viewpoints.

Feedback mechanisms

These studies highlight how feedback systems play a variety of roles in engaging stakeholders in educational initiatives. Though a particular focus on educational stakeholder involvement is still lacking, they demonstrate how civic engagement tactics and the design of education policies have a substantial impact on the nature of political participation and inequality. Policymakers who want to improve engagement and lessen political inequalities through educational changes must have a thorough understanding of these processes.

Power dynamics

In Tanzania, the limited scholarly research on the politics surrounding higher education policy reforms has led to an examination of the power dynamics involved, indicating that both government entities and donors play significant roles, especially with donor influence peaking during the 1990s and 2000s. This analysis emphasizes that higher education policy is influenced by conflicting demands and interests, highlighting the importance of reducing excessive external pressure on these reforms. In contrast, India's new National Educational Policy (NEP) seeks to foster social justice and transformation by addressing educational psychology, focusing on the emotional and authority dynamics that affect diverse groups of students. Furthermore, research from Colombia reveals the complex power dynamics within educational institutions that impact community involvement and access to resources, advocating for transformative practices to establish a more inclusive educational setting.

Collaboration opportunities/ engagement

Across all three nations, the data showed that cooperation opportunities for stakeholder engagement are critical political factors in shaping educational policy and changes. Australia's emphasis on legislative mandates for collaboration, Germany's emphasis on understanding

non-governmental actors in policy processes, and the United States' recognition of the dual nature of collaboration (creativity versus communication barriers) all highlight the importance of inclusive and effective stakeholder engagement. To achieve effective educational reforms, policymakers must prioritize collaborative solutions that increase involvement, improve openness, and reduce the risk of policy capture.

Political will

The essential role of political will in determining stakeholder engagement in educational policies and reforms in various contexts. In countries like Sri Lanka, Hungary, and Nigeria, political will serves as both an impetus and an obstacle, impacting stakeholders' commitment and the distribution of resources required for effective policy execution. For educational reforms to succeed, it is crucial that political will is translated into practical strategies that encourage collaboration and inclusivity among all stakeholders. This alignment is vital for realizing sustainable educational development and addressing the varied needs of the involved communities.

Sense of ownership

The sense of ownership in stakeholder engagement serves as a significant political force that impacts educational policies and reforms in various settings. In Latin America, promoting ownership through inclusive participation builds trust and encourages compliance. In Rwanda, the absence of decision, ownership illustrates the difficulties arising from external pressures on local involvement. In the United States, emphasizing students' ownership of their education is crucial for crafting meaningful learning experiences. These instances highlight the importance for policymakers to acknowledge and foster a true sense of ownership among stakeholders to enhance educational outcomes and ensure that reforms are pertinent and effective.

FINDINGS

Based on the data gathered, the prevailing stakeholder engagement themes that primarily affects the educational policy and reforms outcomes are the power dynamics, stakeholder collaboration and feedback mechanisms. The identified variables form part of the three major identified factors that affects the educational policy reforms and outcomes. The power dynamics includes the political will, sense of ownership and consensus building. The different decision-making bodies and implementer of educational policies and reforms form a vital role in the success of the expected outcome of implementation. It can be inferred further that without the support of the power dynamics, the Another very important factor is the stakeholder collaboration particularly the local community involvement, support to students and collaboration opportunities.

REFERENCES

- Akinjide, Aboluwodi. (2015). 10. Political Will and Strategic Planning in the Implementation of Universal Basic Education in Nigeria: The Obafemi Awolowo Example. *Journal of Educational Research*, doi: 10.3126/JER.V5I1.13057
- Angela, W., Little. (2011). 1. Education policy reform in Sri Lanka: the double-edged sword of political will. *Journal of Education Policy*, doi: 10.1080/02680939.2011.555005
- Anne-Florence, Quaireau. (2023). 5. The Impact of the Participation of Stakeholders in the Enhancement of Education in Rural Communities. doi: 10.1163/9789004547025_018

The politics of participation: stakeholder engagement and its influence on educational policy and reform outcomes

- Barbara, Spector., Teresa, Greely., Gordon, Kingsley. (2004). 8. Engaging Stakeholders in Productive Meetings to Reform Science Education.. *Science Educator*
- Benton, C.J., White, O.L., & Stratton, S. (2014). Collaboration Not Competition: International Education Expanding Perspectives on Learning and Workforce Articulation.
- Carmen, Belafi. (2022). 5. Where There's a Will There's a Way: The Role of Political Will in Creating/Producing/Shaping Education Systems for Learning. doi: 10.35489/bsg-rise-ri_2022/043
- Dan, Imaniriho. (2015). Local Ownership of Education Policies at the Crossroads between the Active Participation and the Passive Compliance to International Goals. *Journal of Education and Practice*, 6(2):45-52.
- Dini, S. (2023). Power Dynamics in Higher Education Policy Reforms in Tanzania, 1990s-2010s: Actors and Interests. *Journal of African Politics*, 3(1):41-62. doi: 1
- Eugene, Matusov., Ana, Marjanovic-Shane. (2017). 4. Promoting students' ownership of their own education through critical dialogue and democratic self-governance. *Dialogic Pedagogy*, doi: 10.5195/DPJ.2017.199
- Ghalia, Al-Thani. (2024). 1. Comparative Analysis of Stakeholder Integration in Education Policy Making: Case Studies of Singapore and Finland. *Societies*, doi: 10.3390/soc14070104
- Giovanny, Stiven, Ramírez, Mejía. (2024). Critical perspectives on power dynamics in education. The case of Félix Henao Botero educational institution. doi: 10.58763/rc2024325
- Hahrie, Han., Andrea, Louise, Campbell., Elizabeth, Perle, McKenna. (2022). Civic Feedbacks: Linking Collective Action, Organizational Strategy, and Influence over Public Policy. *Perspectives on Politics*, 1-15. doi: 10.1017/s1537592722000986
- Hassel, Anke, and Kai Wegrich, (2022). 'How to Engage with Stakeholders', *How to Do Public Policy* (Oxford, 2022; online edn, Oxford Academic, 21 Apr. 2022), <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198747000.003.0007>, accessed 4 Nov. 2024.
- Ibrahim, Yaro., Rozita, Arshad., Dani, Salleh. (2016). 10. Education stakeholder's constraints in policy decisions for effective policy implementation in Nigeria. *British Journal of Education, Society & Behavioural Science*, doi: 10.9734/BJESBS/2016/22606
- Jia, Li., Eryong, Xue. (2022). 6. Pursuing Sustainable Higher Education Admission Policy Reform: Evidence from Stakeholders' Perceptions in China's Pilot Provinces. *Sustainability*, doi: 10.3390/su141911936
- Kira, Pronin. (2021). A Voice without a Veto: Consensus-building through Inclusion of Stakeholders. *Social Science Research Network*, doi: 10.2139/SSRN.3614830
- Kristin, A., Goss. (2010). 4. Civil Society and Civic Engagement: Towards a Multi-Level Theory of Policy Feedbacks. *Journal of Civil Society*, doi: 10.1080/17448689.2010.506370
- L., O., Ogunjimi., C., A., Ajibola., L., U., Akah. (2009). 14. Sustenance of education sector reforms in Nigeria through adequate participation by all stake holders. doi: 10.5897/INGOJ.9000134
- Mariano, Lafuente., Sandra, Bautista., Elise, Desplanques., Roberto, González, Arana., David, Solano., Sarah, Schineller., Julia, Gomila. (2024). Stakeholder engagement for regulation. doi: 10.1787/fe3cb3a3-en
- Morel, N. (2014). Setting the Stage for Collaboration: An Essential Skill for Professional Growth. *The Delta Kappa Gamma bulletin*, 81, 36.
- Naujokaitienė, J., & Passey, D. (2019). Influences on Developing Collaborative Learning Practices in Schools: Three Cases in Three Different Countries. *European Education*, 51, 212 - 230.

Orge, L., Caya, J., Desales, G., & Preglo, J. (2024). Fostering Academic Excellence: Stakeholder Support in the Implementation of National Learning Camp. *Psychology and Education: A Multidisciplinary Journal*, 25(6), 820-825. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.13826434>

Paul, Davidson., Manuel, Gerardo., Flores, Romero., Andrew, Blazey., Jacobo, Villarreal., Marco, Beltrán., Cecilia, Emilsson., Felipe, González-Zapata., Omer, Kirac., Seong, Yeon, Park., Arturo, Rivera, Perez., Daniel, Gerson.,

Rashika, Sharma., Rajnish, Kumar. (2023). 2. Increasing Public Participation to Ensure Holistic View in Educational Policies/Action Plans. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, doi: 10.1177/00195561231177039

Rofiqoh, F. U., Utami, S., Nihaya, K., & Robbaniyah, I. (2023). Power dynamics in education: shaping the structure of school education in India: edited by Chetan Sinha, London, Routledge, 24 March 2023, 220 pp., £90.00 (hbk), eBook £29.24, ISBN: 9781032136707. Education 3-13, 1-4. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03004279.2023.2291502>

Samantha, Vlcek., MI, Somerton. (2023). Collaborative engagement between stakeholders in the education of Australian students with disability: a scoping review. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 1-18. doi: 10.1080/13603116.2023.2216693

Schumann, C. (2006). Financing Studies: Financial Support schemes for students in selected countries. *Research Papers in Economics*.

Sunita, C & Charu, S.M.(2022). 6. Community Participation in the Framework of Decentralization. doi: 10.4324/9780429285523-4

Susanne, Garritzmann., Nadja, Wehl. (2024). How Education Policies Shape Political Inequality: Analyzing Policy Feedback Effects in Germany. *Comparative Political Studies*, doi: 10.1177/00104140241269876

Vossensteyn, J.J. (2004). Student financial support. An inventory in 24 European countries. Background report for the project on portability of student financial support.

Wang, L. (2019). Levelling up academically low-performing students in student-centric education in Singapore: global trend, local policies and future directions. *Educational Review*, 73, 374 - 390.